

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,194	12/12/2001	Eldad Taub	72524	6362	
7:	590 07/22/2005		EXAMINER		
GARY M NATH			NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC		
	OCIATES PLLC		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMDED	
1030 15th STR	EET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6th FLOOR			3713		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	Tata				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/017,194	TAUB, ELDAD					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	 ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	a final rejection, whichev	oria lator In no					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ver, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Sete: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>9,13,17,21,25 and 29.</u> Claim(s) rejected: 1,8,10,13,14,16,18,20,33,34,36,38,36	1.42						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-12,14-16,18-20,22-24,26-28,30</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>43</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) XUAN M. THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7C3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitation of "said treatment being compared with a predetermined ideal virtual treatment for said virtual condititon" (claims 1, 30, 41, 42, and 43) does not have support from the specification and raises the issue of new matter.